```
do :: j=3,nl
    f1=d
    f2=f2+twox
    d=d+1.
    pl(j)=(f2*pl(j-1)-f1*pl(j-2))/d
endif
return
END
```

## Multidimensional Fits

If you are measuring a single variable y as a function of more than one variable — say, a *vector* of variables  $\mathbf{x}$ , then your basis functions will be functions of a vector,  $X_1(\mathbf{x}), \ldots, X_M(\mathbf{x})$ . The  $\chi^2$  merit function is now

$$\chi^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[ \frac{y_{i} - \sum_{k=1}^{M} a_{k} X_{k}(\mathbf{x}_{i})}{\sigma_{i}} \right]^{2}$$
(15.4.24)

All of the preceding discussion goes through unchanged, with x replaced by  $\mathbf{x}$ . In fact, if you are willing to tolerate a bit of programming hack, you can use the above programs without any modification: In both lfit and svdfit, the only use made of the array elements  $\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{i})$  is that each element is in turn passed to the user-supplied routine funcs, which duly returns the values of the basis functions at that point. If you set  $\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{i})=\mathbf{i}$  before calling lfit or svdfit, and independently provide funcs with the true vector values of your data points (e.g., in a COMMON block), then funcs can translate from the fictitious  $\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{i})$ 's to the actual data points before doing its work.

#### CITED REFERENCES AND FURTHER READING:

- Bevington, P.R. 1969, *Data Reduction and Error Analysis for the Physical Sciences* (New York: McGraw-Hill), Chapters 8–9.
- Lawson, C.L., and Hanson, R. 1974, *Solving Least Squares Problems* (Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall).
- Forsythe, G.E., Malcolm, M.A., and Moler, C.B. 1977, *Computer Methods for Mathematical Computations* (Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall), Chapter 9.

# 15.5 Nonlinear Models

We now consider fitting when the model depends *nonlinearly* on the set of M unknown parameters  $a_k, k = 1, 2, ..., M$ . We use the same approach as in previous sections, namely to define a  $\chi^2$  merit function and determine best-fit parameters by its minimization. With nonlinear dependences, however, the minimization must proceed iteratively. Given trial values for the parameters, we develop a procedure that improves the trial solution. The procedure is then repeated until  $\chi^2$  stops (or effectively stops) decreasing.

Sample page from NUMERICAL RECIPES IN FORTRAN 77: THE ART OF SCIENTIFIC COMPUTING (ISBN 0-521-43064-X) Copyright (C) 1986-1992 by Cambridge University Press. Programs Copyright (C) 1986-1992 by Numerical Recipes Software. Permission is granted for internet users to make one paper copy for their own personal use. Further reproduction, or any copying of machine-readable files (including this one) to any server computer, is strictly prohibited. To order Numerical Recipes books or CDROMs, visit website http://www.nr.com or call 1-800-872-7423 (North America only), or send email to directcustserv@cambridge.org (outside North America). Sample page from NUMERICAL RECIPES IN FORTRAN 77: THE ART OF Copyright (C) 1986-1992 by Cambridge University Press. Programs Convrided Conversion Press. Programs Converses Programs Con How is this problem different from the general nonlinear function minimization problem already dealt with in Chapter 10? Superficially, not at all: Sufficiently close to the minimum, we expect the  $\chi^2$  function to be well approximated by a quadratic form, which we can write as

$$\chi^2(\mathbf{a}) \approx \gamma - \mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{a} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{a}$$
 (15.5.1)

where **d** is an *M*-vector and **D** is an  $M \times M$  matrix. (Compare equation 10.6.1.) If the approximation is a good one, we know how to jump from the current trial parameters  $\mathbf{a}_{cur}$  to the minimizing ones  $\mathbf{a}_{min}$  in a single leap, namely

$$\mathbf{a}_{\min} = \mathbf{a}_{cur} + \mathbf{D}^{-1} \cdot \left[ -\nabla \chi^2(\mathbf{a}_{cur}) \right]$$
(15.5.2)

(Compare equation 10.7.4.)

On the other hand, (15.5.1) might be a poor local approximation to the shape of the function that we are trying to minimize at  $\mathbf{a}_{cur}$ . In that case, about all we can do is take a step down the gradient, as in the steepest descent method (§10.6). In other words,

$$\mathbf{a}_{\text{next}} = \mathbf{a}_{\text{cur}} - \text{constant} \times \nabla \chi^2(\mathbf{a}_{\text{cur}})$$
(15.5.3)

where the constant is small enough not to exhaust the downhill direction.

To use (15.5.2) or (15.5.3), we must be able to compute the gradient of the  $\chi^2$  function at any set of parameters **a**. To use (15.5.2) we also need the matrix **D**, which is the second derivative matrix (Hessian matrix) of the  $\chi^2$  merit function, at any **a**.

Now, this is the crucial difference from Chapter 10: There, we had no way of directly evaluating the Hessian matrix. We were given only the ability to evaluate the function to be minimized and (in some cases) its gradient. Therefore, we had to resort to iterative methods *not just* because our function was nonlinear, *but also* in order to build up information about the Hessian matrix. Sections 10.7 and 10.6 concerned themselves with two different techniques for building up this information.

Here, life is much simpler. We *know* exactly the form of  $\chi^2$ , since it is based on a model function that we ourselves have specified. Therefore the Hessian matrix is known to us. Thus we are free to use (15.5.2) whenever we care to do so. The only reason to use (15.5.3) will be failure of (15.5.2) to improve the fit, signaling failure of (15.5.1) as a good local approximation.

### Calculation of the Gradient and Hessian

The model to be fitted is

$$y = y(x; \mathbf{a}) \tag{15.5.4}$$

and the  $\chi^2$  merit function is

$$\chi^{2}(\mathbf{a}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[ \frac{y_{i} - y(x_{i}; \mathbf{a})}{\sigma_{i}} \right]^{2}$$
(15.5.5)

Permission is granted for internet users to make one paper copy for their own personal use. Further reproduction, or any copying of machine-readable files (including this one) to any server computer, is strictly prohibited. To order Numerical Recipes books or CDROMs, visit website http://www.nr.com or call 1-800-872-7423 (North America only), or send email to directcustserv@cambridge.org (outside North America). Sample page from NUMERICAL RECIPES IN FORTRAN 77: THE ART OF 1986-1992 by Cambridge University Press. Programs Copyright (C) SCIENTIFIC COMPUTING (ISBN 0-521-43064-X) 1986-1992 by Numerical Recipes Software

The gradient of  $\chi^2$  with respect to the parameters **a**, which will be zero at the  $\chi^2$  minimum, has components

$$\frac{\partial \chi^2}{\partial a_k} = -2\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{[y_i - y(x_i; \mathbf{a})]}{\sigma_i^2} \frac{\partial y(x_i; \mathbf{a})}{\partial a_k} \qquad k = 1, 2, \dots, M$$
(15.5.6)

Taking an additional partial derivative gives

$$\frac{\partial^2 \chi^2}{\partial a_k \partial a_l} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2} \left[ \frac{\partial y(x_i; \mathbf{a})}{\partial a_k} \frac{\partial y(x_i; \mathbf{a})}{\partial a_l} - [y_i - y(x_i; \mathbf{a})] \frac{\partial^2 y(x_i; \mathbf{a})}{\partial a_l \partial a_k} \right]$$
(15.5.7)

It is conventional to remove the factors of 2 by defining

$$\beta_k \equiv -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \chi^2}{\partial a_k} \qquad \alpha_{kl} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \chi^2}{\partial a_k \partial a_l} \tag{15.5.8}$$

making  $[\alpha] = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{D}$  in equation (15.5.2), in terms of which that equation can be rewritten as the set of linear equations

$$\sum_{l=1}^{M} \alpha_{kl} \,\delta a_l = \beta_k \tag{15.5.9}$$

This set is solved for the increments  $\delta a_l$  that, added to the current approximation, give the next approximation. In the context of least-squares, the matrix  $[\alpha]$ , equal to one-half times the Hessian matrix, is usually called the *curvature matrix*.

Equation (15.5.3), the steepest descent formula, translates to

$$\delta a_l = \text{constant} \times \beta_l \tag{15.5.10}$$

Note that the components  $\alpha_{kl}$  of the Hessian matrix (15.5.7) depend both on the first derivatives and on the second derivatives of the basis functions with respect to their parameters. Some treatments proceed to ignore the second derivative without comment. We will ignore it also, but only *after* a few comments.

Second derivatives occur because the gradient (15.5.6) already has a dependence on  $\partial y/\partial a_k$ , so the next derivative simply must contain terms involving  $\partial^2 y/\partial a_l \partial a_k$ . The second derivative term can be dismissed when it is zero (as in the linear case of equation 15.4.8), or small enough to be negligible when compared to the term involving the first derivative. It also has an additional possibility of being ignorably small in practice: The term multiplying the second derivative in equation (15.5.7) is  $[y_i - y(x_i; \mathbf{a})]$ . For a successful model, this term should just be the random measurement error of each point. This error can have either sign, and should in general be uncorrelated with the model. Therefore, the second derivative terms tend to cancel out when summed over *i*.

Inclusion of the second-derivative term can in fact be destabilizing if the model fits badly or is contaminated by outlier points that are unlikely to be offset by Permission is granted for internet users to make one paper copy for their own personal use. Further reproduction, or any copying of machine-readable files (including this one) to any server computer, is strictly prohibited. To order Numerical Recipes books or CDROMs, visit website http://www.nr.com or call 1-800-872-7423 (North America only), or send email to directcustserv@cambridge.org (outside North America). Sample page from NUMERICAL RECIPES IN FORTRAN 77: THE ART OF 392 by Cambridge University Press. Programs Copyright (C) SCIENTIFIC COMPUTING (ISBN 0-521-43064-X) 1986-1992 by Numerical **Recipes Software** 

compensating points of opposite sign. From this point on, we will always use as the definition of  $\alpha_{kl}$  the formula

$$\alpha_{kl} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2} \left[ \frac{\partial y(x_i; \mathbf{a})}{\partial a_k} \frac{\partial y(x_i; \mathbf{a})}{\partial a_l} \right]$$
(15.5.11)

This expression more closely resembles its linear cousin (15.4.8). You should understand that minor (or even major) fiddling with  $[\alpha]$  has no effect at all on what final set of parameters **a** is reached, but affects only the iterative route that is taken in getting there. The condition at the  $\chi^2$  minimum, that  $\beta_k = 0$  for all k, is independent of how  $[\alpha]$  is defined.

## Levenberg-Marquardt Method

Marquardt [1] has put forth an elegant method, related to an earlier suggestion of Levenberg, for varying smoothly between the extremes of the inverse-Hessian method (15.5.9) and the steepest descent method (15.5.10). The latter method is used far from the minimum, switching continuously to the former as the minimum is approached. This *Levenberg-Marquardt method* (also called *Marquardt method*) works very well in practice and has become the standard of nonlinear least-squares routines.

The method is based on two elementary, but important, insights. Consider the "constant" in equation (15.5.10). What should it be, even in order of magnitude? What sets its scale? There is no information about the answer in the gradient. That tells only the slope, not how far that slope extends. Marquardt's first insight is that the components of the Hessian matrix, even if they are not usable in any precise fashion, give *some* information about the order-of-magnitude scale of the problem.

The quantity  $\chi^2$  is nondimensional, i.e., is a pure number; this is evident from its definition (15.5.5). On the other hand,  $\beta_k$  has the dimensions of  $1/a_k$ , which may well be dimensional, i.e., have units like cm<sup>-1</sup>, or kilowatt-hours, or whatever. (In fact, each component of  $\beta_k$  can have different dimensions!) The constant of proportionality between  $\beta_k$  and  $\delta a_k$  must therefore have the dimensions of  $a_k^2$ . Scan the components of  $[\alpha]$  and you see that there is only one obvious quantity with these dimensions, and that is  $1/\alpha_{kk}$ , the reciprocal of the diagonal element. So that must set the scale of the constant. But that scale might itself be too big. So let's divide the constant by some (nondimensional) fudge factor  $\lambda$ , with the possibility of setting  $\lambda \gg 1$  to cut down the step. In other words, replace equation (15.5.10) by

$$\delta a_l = \frac{1}{\lambda \alpha_{ll}} \beta_l \quad \text{or} \quad \lambda \alpha_{ll} \, \delta a_l = \beta_l \quad (15.5.12)$$

It is necessary that  $\alpha_{ll}$  be positive, but this is guaranteed by definition (15.5.11) — another reason for adopting that equation.

Marquardt's second insight is that equations (15.5.12) and (15.5.9) can be combined if we define a new matrix  $\alpha'$  by the following prescription

$$\alpha'_{jj} \equiv \alpha_{jj}(1+\lambda)$$
  

$$\alpha'_{jk} \equiv \alpha_{jk} \qquad (j \neq k)$$
(15.5.13)

Permission is granted for internet users to make one paper copy for their own personal use. Further reproduction, or any copying of machine-readable files (including this one) to any server computer, is strictly prohibited. To order Numerical Recipes books or CDROMs, visit website http://www.nr.com or call 1-800-872-7423 (North America only), or send email to directcustserv@cambridge.org (outside North America). Copyright (C) Sample page from NUMERICAL RECIPES IN FORTRAN 77: THE ART OF 1986-1992 by Cambridge University Press. Programs Copyright (C) SCIENTIFIC 1986-1992 by Numerical COMPUTING (ISBN 0-521-43064-X) Recipes Software

and then replace both (15.5.12) and (15.5.9) by

$$\sum_{l=1}^{M} \alpha'_{kl} \,\delta a_l = \beta_k \tag{15.5.14}$$

When  $\lambda$  is very large, the matrix  $\alpha'$  is forced into being *diagonally dominant*, so equation (15.5.14) goes over to be identical to (15.5.12). On the other hand, as  $\lambda$  approaches zero, equation (15.5.14) goes over to (15.5.9).

Given an initial guess for the set of fitted parameters  $\mathbf{a}$ , the recommended Marquardt recipe is as follows:

- Compute  $\chi^2(\mathbf{a})$ .
- Pick a modest value for  $\lambda$ , say  $\lambda = 0.001$ .
- (†) Solve the linear equations (15.5.14) for  $\delta \mathbf{a}$  and evaluate  $\chi^2(\mathbf{a} + \delta \mathbf{a})$ .
- If  $\chi^2(\mathbf{a} + \delta \mathbf{a}) \ge \chi^2(\mathbf{a})$ , *increase*  $\lambda$  by a factor of 10 (or any other substantial factor) and go back to (†).
- If χ<sup>2</sup>(**a** + δ**a**) < χ<sup>2</sup>(**a**), decrease λ by a factor of 10, update the trial solution **a** ← **a** + δ**a**, and go back to (†).

Also necessary is a condition for stopping. Iterating to convergence (to machine accuracy or to the roundoff limit) is generally wasteful and unnecessary since the minimum is at best only a statistical estimate of the parameters **a**. As we will see in §15.6, a change in the parameters that changes  $\chi^2$  by an amount  $\ll 1$  is *never* statistically meaningful.

Furthermore, it is not uncommon to find the parameters wandering around near the minimum in a flat valley of complicated topography. The reason is that Marquardt's method generalizes the method of normal equations (§15.4), hence has the same problem as that method with regard to near-degeneracy of the minimum. Outright failure by a zero pivot is possible, but unlikely. More often, a small pivot will generate a large correction which is then rejected, the value of  $\lambda$  being then increased. For sufficiently large  $\lambda$  the matrix  $[\alpha']$  is positive definite and can have no small pivots. Thus the method does tend to stay away from zero pivots, but at the cost of a tendency to wander around doing steepest descent in very un-steep degenerate valleys.

These considerations suggest that, in practice, one might as well stop iterating on the first or second occasion that  $\chi^2$  decreases by a negligible amount, say either less than 0.01 absolutely or (in case roundoff prevents that being reached) some fractional amount like  $10^{-3}$ . Don't stop after a step where  $\chi^2$  *increases*: That only shows that  $\lambda$  has not yet adjusted itself optimally.

Once the acceptable minimum has been found, one wants to set  $\lambda = 0$  and compute the matrix

$$[C] \equiv [\alpha]^{-1} \tag{15.5.15}$$

which, as before, is the estimated covariance matrix of the standard errors in the fitted parameters  $\mathbf{a}$  (see next section).

The following pair of subroutines encodes Marquardt's method for nonlinear parameter estimation. Much of the organization matches that used in lfit of §15.4. In particular the array ia(1:ma) must be input with components one or zero corresponding to whether the respective parameter values a(1:ma) are to be fitted for or held fixed at their input values, respectively.

Sample page from NUMERICAL RECIPES IN FORTRAN 77: THE ART OF Copyright (C) 1986-1992 by Cambridge University Press. Programs Convrid Copyright (C) 1986-1992 by Cambridge University Press. Programs Copyright (C) 1986-1992 by Numerical Recipes Software. Permission is granted for internet users to make one paper copy for their own personal use. Further reproduction, or any copying of machine-readable files (including this one) to any server computer, is strictly prohibited. To order Numerical Recipes books or CDROMs, visit website http://www.nr.com or call 1-800-872-7423 (North America only), or send email to directcustserv@cambridge.org (outside North America). SCIENTIFIC COMPUTING (ISBN 0-521-43064-X)

The routine mrqmin performs one iteration of Marquardt's method. It is first called (once) with alamda < 0, which signals the routine to initialize. alamda is returned on the first and all subsequent calls as the suggested value of  $\lambda$  for the next iteration; a and chisq are always returned as the best parameters found so far and their  $\chi^2$ . When convergence is deemed satisfactory, set alamda to zero before a final call. The matrices alpha and covar (which were used as workspace in all previous calls) will then be set to the curvature and covariance matrices for the converged parameter values. The arguments alpha, a, and chisq must not be modified between calls, nor should alamda be, except to set it to zero for the final call. When an uphill step is taken, chisq and a are returned with their input (best) values, but alamda is returned with an increased value.

The routine mrqmin calls the routine mrqcof for the computation of the matrix  $[\alpha]$  (equation 15.5.11) and vector  $\beta$  (equations 15.5.6 and 15.5.8). In turn mrqcof calls the user-supplied routine funcs (x, a, y, dyda), which for input values  $\mathbf{x} \equiv x_i$  and  $\mathbf{a} \equiv \mathbf{a}$  returns the model function  $\mathbf{y} \equiv y(x_i; \mathbf{a})$  and the vector of derivatives dyda  $\equiv \frac{\partial y}{\partial a_k}$ .

```
SUBROUTINE mrqmin(x,y,sig,ndata,a,ia,ma,covar,alpha,nca,
     chisq,funcs,alamda)
INTEGER ma, nca, ndata, ia(ma), MMAX
REAL alamda, chisq, funcs, a(ma), alpha(nca, nca), covar(nca, nca),
     sig(ndata),x(ndata),y(ndata)
                                               Set to largest number of fit parameters.
PARAMETER (MMAX=20)
USES covsrt, gaussj, mrqcof
   Levenberg-Marquardt method, attempting to reduce the value \chi^2 of a fit between a set of
   data points x(1:ndata), y(1:ndata) with individual standard deviations sig(1:ndata),
   and a nonlinear function dependent on ma coefficients a(1:ma). The input array ia(1:ma)
   indicates by nonzero entries those components of a that should be fitted for, and by zero
   entries those components that should be held fixed at their input values. The program
   returns current best-fit values for the parameters a(1:ma), and \chi^2 = chisq. The ar-
   rays covar(1:nca,1:nca), alpha(1:nca,1:nca) with physical dimension nca (\geq the
   number of fitted parameters) are used as working space during most iterations. Supply a
   subroutine funcs(x,a,yfit,dyda,ma) that evaluates the fitting function yfit, and its
   derivatives dyda with respect to the fitting parameters a at x. On the first call provide
   an initial guess for the parameters a, and set alamda<0 for initialization (which then sets
   alamda=.001). If a step succeeds chisq becomes smaller and alamda decreases by a
   factor of 10. If a step fails alamda grows by a factor of 10. You must call this routine
   repeatedly until convergence is achieved. Then, make one final call with alamda=0, so
   that covar(1:ma, 1:ma) returns the covariance matrix, and alpha the curvature matrix.
   (Parameters held fixed will return zero covariances.)
INTEGER j,k,l,mfit
REAL ochisq, atry(MMAX), beta(MMAX), da(MMAX)
SAVE ochisq, atry, beta, da, mfit
if(alamda.lt.0.)then
                                               Initialization.
    mfit=0
    do 11 j=1,ma
        if (ia(j).ne.0) mfit=mfit+1
    enddo 11
    alamda=0.001
    call mrqcof(x,y,sig,ndata,a,ia,ma,alpha,beta,nca,chisq,funcs)
    ochisq=chisq
    do 12 j=1,ma
        atry(j)=a(j)
    enddo 12
endif
do 14 j=1,mfit
                                               Alter linearized fitting matrix, by augmenting
```

```
do 13 k=1,mfit
```

Alter linearized fitting matrix, by augmenting diagonal elements. Sample page from NUMERICAL RECIPES IN FORTRAN 77: THE AKT OF SCIENTIFY COMMISSION CONTRACTOR Software. Copyright (C) 1986-1992 by Cambridge University Press. Programs Copyright (C) 1986-1992 by Numerical Recipes Software. Permission is granted for internet users to make one paper copy for their own personal use. Further reproduction, or any copying of machine-readable files (including this one) to any server computer, is strictly prohibited. To order Numerical Recipes books or CDROMs, visit website the strictly prohibited. To order Numerical Recipes books or CDROMs, visit website the strictly prohibited. To order Numerical Recipes books or CDROMs, visit website the strictly prohibited. To order Numerical Recipes books or CDROMs, visit website the strictly prohibited. To order Numerical Recipes books or CDROMs, visit website the strictly prohibited. To order Numerical Recipes books or CDROMs, visit website the strictly prohibited. To order Numerical Recipes books or CDROMs, visit website the strictly prohibited. To order Numerical Recipes books or CDROMs, visit website the strictly prohibited. To order Numerical Recipes books or CDROMs, visit website the strictly prohibited. To order Numerical Recipes books or CDROMs, visit website the strictly prohibited. To order Numerical Recipes books or CDROMs, visit website the strictly prohibited. To order Numerical Recipes books or CDROMs, visit website the strictly prohibited. To order Numerical Recipes books or CDROMs, visit website the strictly prohibited. To order Numerical Recipes books or CDROMs, visit website the strictly prohibited. To order Numerical Recipes books or CDROMs, visit website the strictly prohibited. To order Numerical Recipes books or CDROMs, visit website the strictly prohibited. To order Numerical Recipes books or computer and the strictly prohibited. To order Numerical Recipes books or computer and the strictly prohibited. To order Numerical Recipes books or computer and the strictly prohibited. To order Numerical Recipes books or computer and

C

```
covar(j,k)=alpha(j,k)
    enddo 13
    covar(j,j)=alpha(j,j)*(1.+alamda)
    da(j)=beta(j)
enddo 14
call gaussj(covar,mfit,nca,da,1,1)
                                              Matrix solution.
if(alamda.eq.0.)then
                                             Once converged, evaluate covariance matrix.
    call covsrt(covar,nca,ma,ia,mfit)
    call covsrt(alpha,nca,ma,ia,mfit)
                                             Spread out alpha to its full size too.
   return
endif
j=0
                                             Did the trial succeed?
do 15 l=1,ma
    if(ia(1).ne.0) then
        j=j+1
        atry(1)=a(1)+da(j)
    endif
enddo 15
call mrqcof(x,y,sig,ndata,atry,ia,ma,covar,da,nca,chisq,funcs)
if(chisq.lt.ochisq)then
                                             Success, accept the new solution.
    alamda=0.1*alamda
    ochisq=chisq
    do 17 j=1,mfit
        do 16 k=1,mfit
            alpha(j,k)=covar(j,k)
        enddo 16
        beta(j)=da(j)
    enddo 17
    do 18 l=1,ma
        a(1)=atry(1)
    enddo 18
else
                                             Failure, increase alamda and return.
    alamda=10.*alamda
    chisq=ochisq
endif
return
END
```

Notice the use of the routine covsrt from  $\S15.4$ . This is merely for rearranging the covariance matrix covar into the order of all ma parameters. The above routine also makes use of

```
SUBROUTINE mrqcof(x,y,sig,ndata,a,ia,ma,alpha,beta,nalp,
         chisq,funcs)
*
    INTEGER ma,nalp,ndata,ia(ma),MMAX
    REAL chisq, a(ma), alpha(nalp, nalp), beta(ma), sig(ndata), x(ndata),
         v(ndata)
    EXTERNAL funcs
    PARAMETER (MMAX=20)
       Used by mrqmin to evaluate the linearized fitting matrix alpha, and vector beta as in
       (15.5.8), and calculate \chi^2.
    INTÈGER mfit,i,j,k,l,m
    REAL dy,sig2i,wt,ymod,dyda(MMAX)
   mfit=0
    do 11 j=1,ma
        if (ia(j).ne.0) mfit=mfit+1
    enddo 11
                                          Initialize (symmetric) alpha, beta.
    do 13 j=1,mfit
       do 12 k=1,j
```

Sample page from NUMERICAL RECIPES IN FORTRAN 77: THE ART OF SCIENTIFIC COMPUTING (ISBN 0-521-43064-X) Copyright (C) 1986-1992 by Cambridge University Press. Programs Copyright (C) 1986-1992 by Numerical Recipes Software. Permission is granted for internet users to make one paper copy for their own personal use. Further reproduction, or any copying of machine-readable files (including this one) to any server computer, is strictly prohibited. To order Numerical Recipes books or CDROMs, visit website http://www.nr.com or call 1-800-872-7423 (North America only), or send email to directcustserv@cambridge.org (outside North America).

```
alpha(j,k)=0.
    enddo 12
    beta(j)=0.
enddo 13
chisq=0.
do 16 i=1,ndata
                                      Summation loop over all data.
    call funcs(x(i),a,ymod,dyda,ma)
    sig2i=1./(sig(i)*sig(i))
    dy=y(i)-ymod
    j=0
    do 15 l=1,ma
        if(ia(1).ne.0) then
            j=j+1
            wt=dyda(1)*sig2i
            k=0
            do 14 m=1,1
                if(ia(m).ne.0) then
                     k=k+1
                     alpha(j,k)=alpha(j,k)+wt*dyda(m)
                endif
            enddo 14
            beta(j)=beta(j)+dy*wt
        endif
    enddo 15
                                      And find \chi^2.
    chisq=chisq+dy*dy*sig2i
enddo 16
                                      Fill in the symmetric side.
do 18 j=2,mfit
    do 17 k=1,j-1
        alpha(k,j)=alpha(j,k)
    enddo 17
enddo 18
return
END
```

# Example

The following subroutine fgauss is an example of a user-supplied subroutine funcs. Used with the above routine mrqmin (in turn using mrqcof, covsrt, and gaussj), it fits for the model

$$y(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} B_k \exp\left[-\left(\frac{x - E_k}{G_k}\right)^2\right]$$
(15.5.16)

which is a sum of K Gaussians, each having a variable position, amplitude, and width. We store the parameters in the order  $B_1, E_1, G_1, B_2, E_2, G_2, \ldots, B_K$ ,  $E_K, G_K$ .

Sample page from NUMERICAL RECIPES IN FORTRAN 77: THE ART OF SCIENTIFIC COMPUTING (ISBN 0-521-43064-X) Copyright (C) 1986-1992 by Cambridge University Press. Programs Copyright (C) 1986-1992 by Numerical Recipes Software. Permission is granted for internet users to make one paper copy for their own personal use. Further reproduction, or any copying of machine-readable files (including this one) to any server computer, is strictly prohibited. To order Numerical Recipes books or CDROMs, visit website http://www.nr.com or call 1-800-872-7423 (North America only), or send email to directcustserv@cambridge.org (outside North America).

682

```
SUBROUTINE fgauss(x,a,y,dyda,na)
INTEGER na
REAL x,y,a(na),dyda(na)
   u(x;a) is the sum of na/3 Gaussians (15.5.16). The amplitude, center, and width of the
   Gaussians are stored in consecutive locations of a: a(i) = B_k, a(i+1) = E_k, a(i+2) =
   G_k, \ k = 1, ..., na/3.
INTEGER i
REAL arg, ex, fac
y=0.
do 11 i=1,na-1,3
    arg=(x-a(i+1))/a(i+2)
    ex=exp(-arg**2)
    fac=a(i)*ex*2.*arg
    y=y+a(i)*ex
    dvda(i)=ex
    dyda(i+1)=fac/a(i+2)
    dyda(i+2)=fac*arg/a(i+2)
enddo 11
return
```

## More Advanced Methods for Nonlinear Least Squares

The Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm can be implemented as a model-trust region method for minimization (see §9.7 and ref. [2]) applied to the special case of a least squares function. A code of this kind due to Moré [3] can be found in MINPACK [4]. Another algorithm for nonlinear least-squares keeps the second-derivative term we dropped in the Levenberg-Marquardt method whenever it would be better to do so. These methods are called "full Newton-type" methods and are reputed to be more robust than Levenberg-Marquardt, but more complex. One implementation is the code NL2SOL [5].

#### CITED REFERENCES AND FURTHER READING:

END

- Bevington, P.R. 1969, *Data Reduction and Error Analysis for the Physical Sciences* (New York: McGraw-Hill), Chapter 11.
- Marquardt, D.W. 1963, *Journal of the Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 11, pp. 431–441. [1]
- Jacobs, D.A.H. (ed.) 1977, *The State of the Art in Numerical Analysis* (London: Academic Press), Chapter III.2 (by J.E. Dennis).
- Dennis, J.E., and Schnabel, R.B. 1983, *Numerical Methods for Unconstrained Optimization and Nonlinear Equations* (Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall). [2]
- Moré, J.J. 1977, in *Numerical Analysis*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 630, G.A. Watson, ed. (Berlin: Springer-Verlag), pp. 105–116. [3]
- Moré, J.J., Garbow, B.S., and Hillstrom, K.E. 1980, *User Guide for MINPACK-1*, Argonne National Laboratory Report ANL-80-74. [4]
- Dennis, J.E., Gay, D.M, and Welsch, R.E. 1981, ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software, vol. 7, pp. 348–368; op. cit., pp. 369–383. [5].

Permission is granted for internet users to make one paper copy for their own readable files (including this one) to any server computer, is strictly prohibited. Sample page from Copyright (C) 1986 http://www.nr.com or call 1986-1992 by Cambridge University Press. Programs Copyright (C) 1986-1992 by Numerical Recipes Software. granted for internet users to make one paper copy for their own personal use. Further reproduction, or any copying of machine-(including this one) to any server computer, is strictly prohibited. To order Numerical Recipes books or CDROMs, visit website .com or call 1-800-872-7423 (North America only), or send email to directcustserv@cambridge.org (outside North America). NUMERICAL RECIPES IN FORTRAN 77: THE ART OF ART OF SCIENTIFIC Copyright (C) 1986-1986-1992 by Numerical Recipes Software.

# 15.6 Confidence Limits on Estimated Model Parameters

Several times already in this chapter we have made statements about the standard errors, or uncertainties, in a set of M estimated parameters **a**. We have given some formulas for computing standard deviations or variances of individual parameters (equations 15.2.9, 15.4.15, 15.4.19), as well as some formulas for covariances between pairs of parameters (equation 15.2.10; remark following equation 15.4.15; equation 15.4.20; equation 15.5.15).

In this section, we want to be more explicit regarding the precise meaning of these quantitative uncertainties, and to give further information about how quantitative confidence limits on fitted parameters can be estimated. The subject can get somewhat technical, and even somewhat confusing, so we will try to make precise statements, even when they must be offered without proof.

Figure 15.6.1 shows the conceptual scheme of an experiment that "measures" a set of parameters. There is some underlying true set of parameters  $\mathbf{a}_{true}$  that are known to Mother Nature but hidden from the experimenter. These true parameters are statistically realized, along with random measurement errors, as a measured data set, which we will symbolize as  $\mathcal{D}_{(0)}$ . The data set  $\mathcal{D}_{(0)}$  is known to the experimenter. He or she fits the data to a model by  $\chi^2$  minimization or some other technique, and obtains measured, i.e., fitted, values for the parameters, which we here denote  $\mathbf{a}_{(0)}$ .

Because measurement errors have a random component,  $\mathcal{D}_{(0)}$  is not a unique realization of the true parameters  $\mathbf{a}_{\text{true}}$ . Rather, there are infinitely many other realizations of the true parameters as "hypothetical data sets" each of which *could* have been the one measured, but happened not to be. Let us symbolize these by  $\mathcal{D}_{(1)}, \mathcal{D}_{(2)}, \ldots$  Each one, had it been realized, would have given a slightly different set of fitted parameters,  $\mathbf{a}_{(1)}, \mathbf{a}_{(2)}, \ldots$ , respectively. These parameter sets  $\mathbf{a}_{(i)}$  therefore occur with some probability distribution in the *M*-dimensional space of all possible parameter sets  $\mathbf{a}$ . The actual measured set  $\mathbf{a}_{(0)}$  is one member drawn from this distribution.

Even more interesting than the probability distribution of  $\mathbf{a}_{(i)}$  would be the distribution of the difference  $\mathbf{a}_{(i)} - \mathbf{a}_{true}$ . This distribution differs from the former one by a translation that puts Mother Nature's true value at the origin. If we knew *this* distribution, we would know everything that there is to know about the quantitative uncertainties in our experimental measurement  $\mathbf{a}_{(0)}$ .

So the name of the game is to find some way of estimating or approximating the probability distribution of  $\mathbf{a}_{(i)} - \mathbf{a}_{\text{true}}$  without knowing  $\mathbf{a}_{\text{true}}$  and without having available to us an infinite universe of hypothetical data sets.

### Monte Carlo Simulation of Synthetic Data Sets

Although the measured parameter set  $\mathbf{a}_{(0)}$  is not the true one, let us consider a fictitious world in which it *was* the true one. Since we hope that our measured parameters are not *too* wrong, we hope that that fictitious world is not too different from the actual world with parameters  $\mathbf{a}_{\text{true}}$ . In particular, let us hope — no, let us *assume* — that the shape of the probability distribution  $\mathbf{a}_{(i)} - \mathbf{a}_{(0)}$  in the fictitious world is the same, or very nearly the same, as the shape of the probability distribution Copyright (C) 1986-1992 by Cambridge University Press. Programs Copyright (C) 1986-1992 by Numerical Recipes Software. Permission is granted for internet users to make one paper copy for their own personal use. Further reproduction, or any copying of machine-readable files (including this one) to any server computer, is strictly prohibited. To order Numerical Recipes books or CDROMs, visit website http://www.nr.com or call 1-800-872-7423 (North America only), or send email to directcustserv@cambridge.org (outside North America). Sample page from NUMERICAL RECIPES Copyright (C) 1986-1992 by Cambridge Uni IN FORTRAN 77: THE ART OF SCIENTIFIC COMPUTING (ISBN 0-521-43064-X)