

where  $\phi(x)$  is chosen by us. Written in terms of the mesh variable  $q$ , this equation is

$$\frac{dx}{dq} = \frac{\psi}{\phi(x)} \quad (17.5.7)$$

Notice that  $\phi(x)$  should be chosen to be positive definite, so that the density of mesh points is everywhere positive. Otherwise (17.5.7) can have a zero in its denominator.

To use automated mesh spacing, you add the three ODEs (17.5.5) and (17.5.7) to your set of equations, i.e., to the array  $\mathbf{y}(j, k)$ . Now  $x$  becomes a dependent variable!  $Q$  and  $\psi$  also become new dependent variables. Normally, evaluating  $\phi$  requires little extra work since it will be composed from pieces of the  $g$ 's that exist anyway. The automated procedure allows one to investigate quickly how the numerical results might be affected by various strategies for mesh spacing. (A special case occurs if the desired mesh spacing function  $Q$  can be found analytically, i.e.,  $dQ/dx$  is directly integrable. Then, you need to add only two equations, those in 17.5.5, and two new variables  $x, \psi$ .)

As an example of a typical strategy for implementing this scheme, consider a system with one dependent variable  $y(x)$ . We could set

$$dQ = \frac{dx}{\Delta} + \frac{|d \ln y|}{\delta} \quad (17.5.8)$$

or

$$\phi(x) = \frac{dQ}{dx} = \frac{1}{\Delta} + \left| \frac{dy/dx}{y\delta} \right| \quad (17.5.9)$$

where  $\Delta$  and  $\delta$  are constants that we choose. The first term would give a uniform spacing in  $x$  if it alone were present. The second term forces more grid points to be used where  $y$  is changing rapidly. The constants act to make every logarithmic change in  $y$  of an amount  $\delta$  about as “attractive” to a grid point as a change in  $x$  of amount  $\Delta$ . You adjust the constants according to taste. Other strategies are possible, such as a logarithmic spacing in  $x$ , replacing  $dx$  in the first term with  $d \ln x$ .

#### CITED REFERENCES AND FURTHER READING:

Eggleton, P. P. 1971, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, vol. 151, pp. 351–364.  
 Kippenhan, R., Weigert, A., and Hofmeister, E. 1968, in *Methods in Computational Physics*, vol. 7 (New York: Academic Press), pp. 129ff.

## 17.6 Handling Internal Boundary Conditions or Singular Points

Singularities can occur in the interiors of two point boundary value problems. Typically, there is a point  $x_s$  at which a derivative must be evaluated by an expression of the form

$$S(x_s) = \frac{N(x_s, \mathbf{y})}{D(x_s, \mathbf{y})} \quad (17.6.1)$$

where the denominator  $D(x_s, \mathbf{y}) = 0$ . In physical problems with finite answers, singular points usually come with their own cure: Where  $D \rightarrow 0$ , there the physical solution  $\mathbf{y}$  must be such as to make  $N \rightarrow 0$  simultaneously, in such a way that the ratio takes on a meaningful value. This constraint on the solution  $\mathbf{y}$  is often called a *regularity condition*. The condition that  $D(x_s, \mathbf{y})$  satisfy some special constraint at  $x_s$  is entirely analogous to an extra boundary condition, an algebraic relation among the dependent variables that must hold at a point.

We discussed a related situation earlier, in §17.2, when we described the “fitting point method” to handle the task of integrating equations with singular behavior at the boundaries. In those problems you are unable to integrate from one side of the domain to the other.

Sample page from NUMERICAL RECIPES IN FORTRAN 77: THE ART OF SCIENTIFIC COMPUTING (ISBN 0-521-43064-X)  
 Copyright (C) 1986-1992 by Cambridge University Press. Programs Copyright (C) 1986-1992 by Numerical Recipes Software.  
 Permission is granted for internet users to make one paper copy for their own personal use. Further reproduction, or any copying of machine-readable files (including this one), to any server computer, is strictly prohibited. To order Numerical Recipes books or CDROMs, visit website <http://www.nr.com> or call 1-800-872-7423 (North America only), or send email to [directcustserv@cambridge.org](mailto:directcustserv@cambridge.org) (outside North America).



where  $x_s$  is the unknown location of the singularity, and change the independent variable to  $t$  by setting

$$x - x_1 = tz, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1 \quad (17.6.4)$$

The boundary conditions at  $t = 1$  become

$$N(x, y) = 0, \quad D(x, y) = 0 \quad (17.6.5)$$

Use of an adaptive mesh as discussed in the previous section is another way to overcome the difficulties of an internal singularity. For the problem (17.6.2), we add the mesh spacing equations

$$\frac{dQ}{dq} = \psi \quad (17.6.6)$$

$$\frac{d\psi}{dq} = 0 \quad (17.6.7)$$

with a simple mesh spacing function that maps  $x$  uniformly into  $q$ , where  $q$  runs from 1 to  $M$ , the number of mesh points:

$$Q(x) = x - x_1, \quad \frac{dQ}{dx} = 1 \quad (17.6.8)$$

Having added three first-order differential equations, we must also add their corresponding boundary conditions. If there were no singularity, these could simply be

$$\text{at } q = 1 : \quad x = x_1, \quad Q = 0 \quad (17.6.9)$$

$$\text{at } q = M : \quad x = x_2 \quad (17.6.10)$$

and a total of  $N$  values  $y_i$  specified at  $q = 1$ . In this case the problem is essentially an initial value problem with all boundary conditions specified at  $x_1$  and the mesh spacing function is superfluous.

However, in the actual case at hand we impose the conditions

$$\text{at } q = 1 : \quad x = x_1, \quad Q = 0 \quad (17.6.11)$$

$$\text{at } q = M : \quad N(x, y) = 0, \quad D(x, y) = 0 \quad (17.6.12)$$

and  $N - 1$  values  $y_i$  at  $q = 1$ . The “missing”  $y_i$  is to be adjusted, in other words, so as to make the solution go through the singular point in a regular (zero-over-zero) rather than irregular (finite-over-zero) manner. Notice also that these boundary conditions do not directly impose a value for  $x_2$ , which becomes an adjustable parameter that the code varies in an attempt to match the regularity condition.

In this example the singularity occurred at a boundary, and the complication arose because the location of the boundary was unknown. In other problems we might wish to continue the integration beyond the internal singularity. For the example given above, we could simply integrate the ODEs to the singular point, then as a separate problem recommence the integration from the singular point on as far we care to go. However, in other cases the singularity occurs internally, but does not completely determine the problem: There are still some more boundary conditions to be satisfied further along in the mesh. Such cases present no difficulty in principle, but do require some adaptation of the relaxation code given in §17.3. In effect all you need to do is to add a “special” block of equations at the mesh point where the internal boundary conditions occur, and do the proper bookkeeping.

Figure 17.6.1 illustrates a concrete example where the overall problem contains 5 equations with 2 boundary conditions at the first point, one “internal” boundary condition, and two final boundary conditions. The figure shows the structure of the overall matrix equations along the diagonal in the vicinity of the special block. In the middle of the domain, blocks typically involve 5 equations (rows) in 10 unknowns (columns). For each block prior to the special block, the initial boundary conditions provided enough information to zero the first two columns of the blocks. The five FDEs eliminate five more columns, and the final three columns need to be stored for the backsubstitution step (as described in §17.3). To handle the extra condition we break the normal cycle and add a special block with only one equation:

the internal boundary condition. This effectively reduces the required storage of unreduced coefficients by one column for the rest of the grid, and allows us to reduce to zero the first three columns of subsequent blocks. The subroutines `red`, `pinvs`, `bksub` can readily handle these cases with minor recoding, but each problem makes for a special case, and you will have to make the modifications as required.

#### CITED REFERENCES AND FURTHER READING:

London, R.A., and Flannery, B.P. 1982, *Astrophysical Journal*, vol. 258, pp. 260–269.

Sample page from NUMERICAL RECIPES IN FORTRAN 77: THE ART OF SCIENTIFIC COMPUTING (ISBN 0-521-43064-X)  
Copyright (C) 1986-1992 by Cambridge University Press. Programs Copyright (C) 1986-1992 by Numerical Recipes Software.  
Permission is granted for internet users to make one paper copy for their own personal use. Further reproduction, or any copying of machine-readable files (including this one), to any server computer, is strictly prohibited. To order Numerical Recipes books or CDROMs, visit website <http://www.nr.com> or call 1-800-872-7423 (North America only), or send email to [directcustserv@cambridge.org](mailto:directcustserv@cambridge.org) (outside North America).